



Single Particle Tracking reveals two distinct environments for CD4 receptors at the surface of living T lymphocytes

Patrice Mascalchi^{a,b}, Anne Sophie Lamort^{a,b}, Laurence Salomé^{a,b}, Fabrice Dumas^{a,b,*}

^a CNRS, IPBS (Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale), 205 route de Narbonne, BP 64182, F-31077 Toulouse, France

^b Université de Toulouse, UPS, IPBS (Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale), F-31077 Toulouse, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 November 2011

Available online 7 December 2011

Keywords:

HIV

CD4

Single Particle Tracking

Membrane organization

ABSTRACT

We investigated the lateral diffusion of the HIV receptor CD4 at the surface of T lymphocytes at 20 °C and 37 °C by Single Particle Tracking using Quantum Dots. We found that the receptors presented two major distinct behaviors that were not equally affected by temperature changes. About half of the receptors showed a random diffusion with a diffusion coefficient increasing upon raising the temperature. The other half of the receptors was permanently or transiently confined with unchanged dynamics on raising the temperature. These observations suggest that two distinct subpopulations of CD4 receptors with different environments are present at the surface of living T lymphocytes.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The process of HIV infection requires the sequential interaction of multiple receptors at the plasma membrane. Firstly, the gp120 viral envelope protein interacts with a CD4 receptor present at the surface of the target cell. Secondly, the same gp120 viral protein interacts with co-receptors (either CCR5 or CXCR4 for R5- and X4-virus, respectively) also expressed on the target cell. These successive interactions lead to protein conformational changes and, eventually, to the initiation of the fusion of viral and cellular membranes by the viral gp41 protein. This whole process finally leads to the release of the viral content into the cytoplasm of the cell (for review, see [1]).

It is now well known that the plasma membrane displays a dynamical organization with domains scaling from the nanometer to the micrometer range [2] and that this compartmentalization is essential for the regulation of many cellular functions [3,4]. Several authors have proposed that the existence of a compartmentalization of the HIV receptor and co-receptors in the plasma membrane of target cells might facilitate HIV infection [5–8]. Indeed, the virus may take advantage of such a clustering to quickly establish the numerous interactions required for membrane fusion and the release of its content into the host cell. Indications supporting this hypothesis have been reported by different groups. For example, it has been shown that the efficiency of HIV infection was dependent on receptor surface density [9]. Additionally, Singer and collaborators revealed by electron microscopy that CCR5, CD4

and CXCR4 form micro-clusters at the surface of primary macrophages and T cells [10] and our group demonstrated that a constitutive interaction of CD4 and CCR5 occurs in micrometer sized domains at the surface of stably transfected HEK cells [5,11].

A thorough analysis of the dynamical behavior of the HIV receptors within the membrane is needed for a better understanding of the early steps of the infection process. So far, however, only a few studies have focused on the dynamics of HIV receptors [5,12] and data on the dynamical membrane organization of receptors at the surface of natural targets of HIV (i.e. lymphocytes or macrophages) are still incomplete. In this study, we have used Single Particle Tracking (SPT) to study the dynamical organization of the CD4 receptor on CD4⁺ T lymphocytes with a high spatial and temporal resolution.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Cell culture

The J.CCR5 cell line (a generous gift from F. Bachelierie) is a Jurkat cell line stably expressing CCR5 after transduction by a lentiviral vector. J.CCR5 cells were cultured at 37 °C and in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere in RPMI 1640 without L-glutamine (Gibco, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Lonza), and every 2 weeks with 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco, Invitrogen).

2.2. Flow cytometry analysis

Cell surface expression of the receptors was determined as described previously [11] using a BD Biosciences FACS-Calibur.

* Corresponding author at: CNRS, IPBS (Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale), 205 route de Narbonne, BP 64182, F-31077 Toulouse, France.

E-mail address: fabrice.dumas@ipbs.fr (F. Dumas).

Labeling of the receptors was performed using biotinylated OKT4 anti-CD4 and T21/8 anti-CCR5 antibodies (BioLegend) coupled to streptavidin conjugated to phycoerythrin (BD).

2.3. Single Particle Tracking experiments

Cells were plated on coverslips previously incubated with 0.1 mg/ml poly-L-lysine for 5 min. For a homogenous attachment of the cells, a gentle centrifugation (50g, 7 min) was performed.

The CD4 receptors were labeled for 15 min with 0.03 nM biotinylated OKT4 antibodies (BioLegend) coupled to 0.3 nM fluorescent (655 nm) streptavidin-coated Quantum Dots (QD) (Molecular Probes, Life Technologies).

Tracking and observations were performed on an Axioplan 2 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a Cascade II 512 EM-CCD camera (Roper Scientific) operating at a 25 Hz acquisition frequency. The fluorescent nanoparticles were illuminated with an X CITE 120 light source containing a metal halide vapor short arc lamp (Exfo), and observed through a fluar 100×/1.30 oil UV objective associated to a 1.6× multiplier tube lens in front of the camera.

2.4. Trajectories analysis

In a first step, the positions of the multiple QD present in each image have been calculated by a Gaussian fit using the Multiple Target Tracing program developed by Sergé et al. [13]. After obtaining the trajectory of each particle, the Mean Square Displacement (MSD) (Fig. 2B right) was calculated according to Eq. (1) [14,15]:

$$\text{MSD}(n\delta t) = \frac{1}{N-1-n} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1-n} \left\{ [x(j\delta t + n\delta t) - x(j\delta t)]^2 + [y(j\delta t + n\delta t) - y(j\delta t)]^2 \right\} \quad (1)$$

where δt is the time interval between two successive frames (40 ms), n is the number of time intervals, $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are the QD coordinates at time t and N is the total number of frames.

The short term diffusion coefficient of a given trajectory segment, D_{1-2} , was determined from the slope of the first two points of the corresponding MSD [16].

To detect transient or continuous confinement events, a confinement index $\Lambda(t)$, as established by Meilhac et al. [17] was calculated on sliding intervals using Eq. (2) (Fig. 2B, center):

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{D_{1-2}\Delta t}{\Delta r^2} \quad (2)$$

where Δr^2 is the variance of the trajectory segment of duration Δt under study. A value of $\Lambda(t) > 4$ for a period longer than Δt is characteristic of confined diffusion. The size of the domains and the diffusion coefficient inside the confined trajectory segments were determined by fitting MSD(t) with its theoretical expression for confined diffusion [17]. The unconfined trajectories, partial or total, were analyzed using standard procedures [18] and classified as having either random or directed diffusion.

This analysis allowed classifying CD4 dynamics into different categories, namely random, confined, transiently confined or directed diffusion (see [19] for review).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Choice and characterization of the lymphocyte cell line

It has been shown that HIV replicates most efficiently in activated CD4⁺ T cells [20–22]. The activation process of these cells is accompanied by the expression of surface molecules such as HLA-DR and CD25 but also the HIV co-receptor CCR5 [23]. Additionally, it has been shown that the presence of CCR5 at the cell

surface is required for the fusion of viral and cellular membranes and thus for the entry of the R5-virus into the cells [24–26]. As a consequence, HIV infection predominantly involves activated lymphocytes (i.e. that express CCR5) like those present at the gastrointestinal mucosa [21,27].

Unfortunately, upon activation of T cells, CD4 and CCR5 expression rates vary drastically, not only over time but also from cell to cell, making the interpretation of experiments very difficult. To overcome this problem, we have chosen to work on a Jurkat cell line (T lymphocytes) stably transfected by a lentiviral vector containing the CCR5 gene (referred to as “J.CCR5” cell line). As shown in Fig. 1, this cell line expresses both CD4 and CCR5 and was shown to be competent for HIV infection (data not shown). Owing to the stable expression levels of both CD4 and CCR5 over time and from cell to cell, this cell line was suitable to perform SPT experiments.

3.2. Imaging and tracking of CD4 in living cells

To investigate the movements of CD4 at the surface of J.CCR5 living cells, we observed, as a function of time, CD4 receptors labeled by a streptavidin-coated quantum dot (QD) coupled to a biotinylated-OKT4 antibody. The OKT4 antibody has been chosen since it does not inhibit CD4-CCR5 nor CD4-gp120 interactions [28,29]. QD are very stable fluorescent nanoparticles that can easily be detected by video microscopy. These particles have been shown not to affect the dynamics of the molecules they are attached to, as revealed on model membranes (Mascalchi et al., in preparation), unlike colloidal gold which led to abnormally small diffusion coefficients in previous experiments we carried out on HEK cells (data not shown).

As shown in Fig. 2A, in the conditions used, up to 10 fluorescent spots could be observed simultaneously, each corresponding to individual receptors. The coordinates of each labeled receptor were accumulated over time and compiled as described in the Material and methods section to reconstruct their trajectory (Fig. 2B left). In order to have a complete description of the dynamics of CD4, we only took into account for our analyses the trajectories comprising at least 500 ($x(t)$, $y(t)$) coordinates (i.e. 20 s of duration) with a percentage of the time where QD could not be observed due to blinking inferior to 10%.

3.3. Mobility analysis of CD4 at 20 °C

In order to compare the present results with previous FRAP measurements [5], we first performed SPT experiments at 20 °C. Our results revealed two major distinct behaviors of CD4 on living J.CCR5 cells (Table 1). On the one hand, less than half of the receptors (43%) were free to diffuse and exhibited a random Brownian diffusion with an average diffusion coefficient, D_{1-2} , of about $6.10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$. On the other hand, the remaining receptors were either transiently (45%) or permanently (12%) confined into ≈ 220 nm domains. The D_{1-2} of these confined receptors was nearly the same as that of unconfined receptors ($\approx 5.10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$). Worthy of note, when transiently confined diffusion was observed, the segments with random diffusion had similar diffusion coefficients to those measured for the confined ones ($\approx 7.10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$). Such a compartmentalization of the diffusion within the membrane has already been observed for many membrane proteins [30–32] and is in agreement with those found for CD4 on transfected HEK cells [5]. Several phenomena could explain this compartmentalization, such as interactions with other membrane proteins [33], lipids [34,35] or the actin cytoskeleton [36] (for review, see [2]). In future work, it will be interesting to explore which factors are responsible for the confinement of the receptor by performing SPT experiments after cholesterol depletion or after perturbation of the organization of the cytoskeleton.




Fig. 1. Cell surface expression of CD4 and CCR5 of the HIV-susceptible J.CCR5 cells. Cell surface expression of wild-type CCR5 (black line) and CD4 (grey line) were determined by flow cytometry using the anti-CCR5 T21/8 and anti-CD4 OKT4 antibodies. As controls, the signal for J.CCR5 cells alone or incubated with streptavidin conjugated to phycoerythrin were acquired (filled peak and dashed line, respectively).

3.4. Mobility analysis of CD4 at 37 °C

Raising the temperature to 37 °C did not drastically modify the overall dynamics of the receptors: the two diffusional behaviors identified at 20 °C were still observed (Table 1). However, increasing the temperature induced a slight increase of the proportion of random diffusion (from 43% to 47%) at the expense of the permanently confined diffusion fraction that dropped from 12% to 5%. The proportion of transient confinement was nearly unchanged (48%). Fig. 3 presents the histograms of the distribution of the measured D_{1-2} for each diffusion mode. An expected consequence of raising the temperature from 20 to 37 °C is the increase of the diffusion coefficient. This appeared to be the case for the CD4 receptors with a random diffusion since most of these receptors presented a D_{1-2} two times higher at 37 than at 20 °C (Fig. 3A). This augmentation, due to the thermal Brownian motion, is in good agreement with data obtained on model systems [37,38] and on biological membranes [38,39].

Surprisingly, increasing the temperature did not modify the dynamics of the confined CD4 receptors. Both the mean values of the diffusion coefficient and the sizes of the domains of confinement remained unchanged (Table 1). It has to be noted that similar observations have been made for the transferrin receptor [40,41] and the mu-opioid receptor [14]. Fig. 3B focuses on the dynamics of transiently confined receptors. It details the distribution of the




Fig. 2. Recording and analysis of receptors trajectories. (A) Bright-field imaging of a J.CCR5 cell and fluorescence imaging of CD4-antibody-QD complexes. (B, left) Example of a trajectory of a CD4 receptor with random (black) and confined (red) periods. (B, center) The confinement index calculated according to [17] allows to discriminate random from confined diffusion within a given trajectory. (B, right) The D_{1-2} and the size of the domains of the various segments of the trajectories were determined by fitting the corresponding $MSD(t)$ plots (continuous curves) with the theoretical equations (dashed curves) for random or confined diffusion (see text).

Table 1
Distribution of CD4 receptors among the different diffusion modes with their associated parameters measured at different temperatures.

	Temperature (°C)	Diffusion mode			
		Random	Confined	Transiently confined	
				Random	Confined
% of trajectories	20	43	12	45	
	37	47	5	48	
Diffusion coefficient D_{1-2} ($\times 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$)	20	6.2 ± 2.7 ($n = 29$)	5.2 ± 1.5 ($n = 8$)	7.0 ± 7.3 ($n = 54$)	4.7 ± 3.0 ($n = 33$)
	37	11 ± 6.3 ($n = 38$)	6.5 ± 7.5 ($n = 4$)	7.4 ± 6.0 ($n = 64$)	4.6 ± 3.9 ($n = 53$)
Domain size R (nm)	20	–	226 ± 52 ($n = 6$)	–	221 ± 138 ($n = 33$)
	37	–	180 ± 86 ($n = 4$)	–	178 ± 116 ($n = 31$)

The percentage of receptors with random, confined and transiently confined diffusion has been determined at 20 °C and 37 °C. For each type of diffusion, the mean value and the standard deviation of the diffusion coefficient D_{1-2} and of the radius size of confinement domains R have been evaluated (see the Section 2).




Fig. 3. Diffusion coefficients of CD4 for random or transiently confined trajectories obtained at 20 °C (blue) and 37 °C (red). Histogram of the diffusion coefficients D_{1-2} of random trajectories. Increasing the temperature resulted in an overall increase of the diffusion coefficients of freely diffusing CD4 receptors. (A) Histogram of the diffusion coefficients D_{1-2} of transiently confined trajectories. Random and confined sections have been analyzed separately. Changing the temperature did not significantly modify the dynamics of transiently confined CD4 receptors. (B) Histogram of the diffusion coefficients D_{1-2} of transiently confined trajectories. Random and confined sections have been analyzed separately. Changing the temperature did not significantly modify the dynamics of transiently confined CD4 receptors. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

diffusion coefficient of the random part and the confined part of the trajectories. Interestingly, both were insensitive to temperature changes. This indicates that the temperature dependence of the diffusion of the CD4 receptors is more likely influenced by their local environment than related to their diffusion mode (random or confined).

Whatever the reasons are for the observed differences in the dynamical behavior of CD4, these results demonstrate that different populations of CD4 with different environments are present at the surface of lymphocyte cells. As a consequence, depending on their location within the membrane, CD4 receptors might have a different propensity to interact with the HIV Envelope protein. To

go further in the understanding of the importance of this dichotomy for the infection process, it will be necessary to study the dynamics of the HIV co-receptors CCR5 and CXCR4 on living J.CCR5 cells in order to evaluate whether it can be correlated to that of CD4. This should give us new insights in the early stages of the HIV infection process.

Acknowledgments

We thank F. Bachelierie and A. Amara for providing the J.CCR5 cell line, and E. Haanappel and E. Joly for language editing. This project was supported by grants from the Agence Nationale de Recher-

che sur le Sida et les hépatites (ANRS) and the Association de lutte contre le sida (SIDACTION). P. Mascalchi benefited from ANRS and SIDACTION PhD fellowships. Flow cytometry experiments have been carried out at the Toulouse Réseau Imagerie (TRI) platform. Our research group belongs to the CNRS consortium CellTiss.

References

- [1] R.W. Doms, Beyond receptor expression: The influence of receptor conformation, density, and affinity in HIV-1 infection, *Virology* 276 (2000) 229–237.
- [2] D.M. Engelman, Membranes are more mosaic than fluid, *Nature* 438 (2005) 578–580.
- [3] D. Marguet, P.F. Lenne, H. Rigneault, H.T. He, Dynamics in the plasma membrane: How to combine fluidity and order? *EMBO J.* 25 (2006) 3446–3457.
- [4] P.F. Lenne, L. Wawrezinieck, F. Conchonaud, O. Wurtz, A. Boned, X.J. Guo, H. Rigneault, H.T. He, D. Marguet, Dynamic molecular confinement in the plasma membrane by microdomains and the cytoskeleton meshwork, *EMBO J.* 25 (2006) 3245–3256.
- [5] A.M. Baker, A. Sauliere, G. Gaibelet, B. Lagane, S. Mazeres, M. Fourage, F. Bachelier, L. Salome, A. Lopez, F. Dumas, CD4 interacts constitutively with multiple CCR5 at the plasma membrane of living cells. A fluorescence recovery after photobleaching at variable radii approach, *J. Biol. Chem.* 282 (2007) 35163–35168.
- [6] M.I. Chang, P. Panorchan, T.M. Dobrowsky, Y. Tseng, D. Wirtz, Single-molecule analysis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp120-receptor interactions in living cells, *J. Virol.* 79 (2005) 14748–14755.
- [7] Y. Percherancier, B. Lagane, T. Planchenault, I. Staropoli, R. Altmeyer, J.L. Virelizier, F. Arenzana-Seisdedos, D.C. Hoessli, F. Bachelier, HIV-1 entry into T-cells is not dependent on CD4 and CCR5 localization to sphingolipid-enriched, detergent-resistant, raft membrane domains, *J. Biol. Chem.* 278 (2003) 3153–3161.
- [8] X. Xiao, L. Wu, T.S. Stantchev, Y.R. Feng, S. Ugolini, H. Chen, Z. Shen, J.L. Riley, C.C. Broder, Q.J. Sattentau, D.S. Dimitrov, Constitutive cell surface association between CD4 and CCR5, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 96 (1999) 7496–7501.
- [9] M. Viard, I. Parolini, M. Sargiacomo, K. Fecchi, C. Ramoni, S. Ablan, F.W. Ruscetti, J.M. Wang, R. Blumenthal, Role of cholesterol in human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope protein-mediated fusion with host cells, *J. Virol.* 76 (2002) 11584–11595.
- [10] I.I. Singer, S. Scott, D.W. Kawka, J. Chin, B.L. Daugherty, J.A. DeMartino, J. DiSalvo, S.L. Gould, J.E. Lineberger, L. Malkowitz, M.D. Miller, L. Mitnaul, S.J. Siciliano, M.J. Staruch, H.R. Williams, H.J. Zweerink, M.S. Springer, CCR5, CXCR4, and CD4 are clustered and closely apposed on microvilli of human macrophages and T cells, *J. Virol.* 75 (2001) 3779–3790.
- [11] G. Gaibelet, T. Planchenault, S. Mazeres, F. Dumas, F. Arenzana-Seisdedos, A. Lopez, B. Lagane, F. Bachelier, CD4 and CCR5 constitutively interact at the plasma membrane of living cells: A confocal fluorescence resonance energy transfer-based approach, *J. Biol. Chem.* 281 (2006) 37921–37929.
- [12] C.M. Steffens, T.J. Hope, Mobility of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) receptor CD4 and coreceptor CCR5 in living cells: Implications for HIV fusion and entry events, *J. Virol.* 78 (2004) 9573–9578.
- [13] A. Serge, N. Bertaux, H. Rigneault, D. Marguet, Dynamic multiple-target tracing to probe spatiotemporal cartography of cell membranes, *Nat. Methods* 5 (2008) 687–694.
- [14] F. Daumas, N. Destainville, C. Millot, A. Lopez, D. Dean, L. Salome, Confined diffusion without fences of a g-protein-coupled receptor as revealed by single particle tracking, *Biophys. J.* 84 (2003) 356–366.
- [15] H. Qian, M.P. Sheetz, E.L. Elson, Single particle tracking. Analysis of diffusion and flow in two-dimensional systems, *Biophys. J.* 60 (1991) 910–921.
- [16] X. Michalet, Mean square displacement analysis of single-particle trajectories with localization error: Brownian motion in an isotropic medium, *Phys. Rev. E Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys.* 82 (2010) 041914.
- [17] N. Meilhac, L. Le Guyader, L. Salome, N. Destainville, Detection of confinement and jumps in single-molecule membrane trajectories, *Phys. Rev. E Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys.* 73 (2006) 011915.
- [18] M.J. Saxton, K. Jacobson, Single-particle tracking: Applications to membrane dynamics, *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 26 (1997) 373–399.
- [19] A. Baker, A. Sauliere, F. Dumas, C. Millot, S. Mazeres, A. Lopez, L. Salome, Functional membrane diffusion of G-protein coupled receptors, *Eur. Biophys. J.* 36 (2007) 849–860.
- [20] Z.F. Rosenberg, A.S. Fauci, Immunopathogenesis of HIV infection, *FASEB J.* 5 (1991) 2382–2390.
- [21] R.S. Veazey, M. DeMaria, L.V. Chalifoux, D.E. Shvetz, D.R. Pauley, H.L. Knight, M. Rosenzweig, R.P. Johnson, R.C. Desrosiers, A.A. Lackner, Gastrointestinal tract as a major site of CD4+ T cell depletion and viral replication in SIV infection, *Science* 280 (1998) 427–431.
- [22] S.C. Bangs, A.J. McMichael, X.N. Xu, Bystander T cell activation—implications for HIV infection and other diseases, *Trends Immunol.* 27 (2006) 518–524.
- [23] C.C. Bleul, L. Wu, J.A. Hoxie, T.A. Springer, C.R. Mackay, The HIV coreceptors CXCR4 and CCR5 are differentially expressed and regulated on human T lymphocytes, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94 (1997) 1925–1930.
- [24] A.M. de Roda Husman, H. Blaak, M. Brouwer, H. Schuitemaker, CC chemokine receptor 5 cell-surface expression in relation to CC chemokine receptor 5 genotype and the clinical course of HIV-1 infection, *J. Immunol.* 163 (1999) 4597–4603.
- [25] Y.L. Lin, C. Mettling, P. Portales, J. Reynes, J. Clot, P. Corbeau, Cell surface CCR5 density determines the postentry efficiency of R5 HIV-1 infection, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 99 (2002) 15590–15595.
- [26] M. Paiardini, B. Cervasi, E. Reyes-Aviles, L. Micci, A.M. Ortiz, A. Chahroudi, C. Vinton, S.N. Gordon, S.E. Bosinger, N. Francella, P.L. Hallberg, E. Cramer, T. Schlub, M.L. Chan, N.E. Riddick, R.G. Collman, C. Apetrei, I. Pandrea, J. Else, J. Munch, F. Kirchhoff, M.P. Davenport, J.M. Brencley, G. Silvestri, Low levels of SIV infection in sooty mangabey central memory CD T cells are associated with limited CCR5 expression, *Nat. Med.* 17 (2011) 830–836.
- [27] M.A. Poles, J. Elliott, P. Taing, P.A. Anton, I.S. Chen, A preponderance of CCR5(+) CXCR4(+) mononuclear cells enhances gastrointestinal mucosal susceptibility to human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection, *J. Virol.* 75 (2001) 8390–8399.
- [28] S. Bour, F. Boulerville, M.A. Wainberg, Inhibition of gp160 and CD4 maturation in U937 cells after both defective and productive infections by human immunodeficiency virus type 1, *J. Virol.* 65 (1991) 6387–6396.
- [29] R. Staudinger, S.K. Phogat, X. Xiao, X. Wang, D.S. Dimitrov, S. Zolla-Pazner, Evidence for CD4-enhanced signaling through the chemokine receptor CCR5, *J. Biol. Chem.* 278 (2003) 10389–10392.
- [30] J. Mukherjee, K. Kretschmannova, G. Gouzer, H.M. Maric, S. Ramsden, V. Tretter, K. Harvey, P.A. Davies, A. Triller, H. Schindelin, S.J. Moss, The Residence time of GABAARs at inhibitory synapses is determined by direct binding of the receptor α 1 subunit to gephyrin, *J. Neurosci.* 31 (2011) 14677–14687.
- [31] C.D. Valentine, P.M. Haggie, Confinement of beta(1)- and beta(2)-adrenergic receptors in the plasma membrane of cardiomyocyte-like H9c2 cells is mediated by selective interactions with PDZ domain and A-kinase anchoring proteins but not caveolae, *Mol. Biol. Cell* 22 (2011) 2970–2982.
- [32] A.L. Wolf-Ringwall, P.W. Winter, J. Liu, A.K. Van Orden, D.A. Roess, B.G. Barisas, Restricted lateral diffusion of luteinizing hormone receptors in membrane microdomains, *J. Biol. Chem.* 286 (2011) 29818–29827.
- [33] F. Daumas, N. Destainville, C. Millot, A. Lopez, D. Dean, L. Salome, Interprotein interactions are responsible for the confined diffusion of a G-protein-coupled receptor at the cell surface, *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 31 (2003) 1001–1005.
- [34] H.T. McMahon, J.L. Gallop, Membrane curvature and mechanisms of dynamic cell membrane remodelling, *Nature* 438 (2005) 590–596.
- [35] P. Sharma, R. Varma, R.C. Sarasij, I. Ira, K. Gousset, G. Krishnamoorthy, M. Rao, S. Mayor, Nanoscale organization of multiple GPI-anchored proteins in living cell membranes, *Cell* 116 (2004) 577–589.
- [36] A. Kusumi, Y. Sako, Cell surface organization by the membrane skeleton, *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 8 (1996) 566–574.
- [37] H.C. Berg (Ed.), *Random Walks in Biology*, Princeton University, 1983.
- [38] E.A. Reits, J.J. Neefjes, From fixed to FRAP: Measuring protein mobility and activity in living cells, *Nat. Cell Biol.* 3 (2001) E145–147.
- [39] D.A. Jans, R. Peters, F. Fahrenholz, Lateral mobility of the phospholipase C-activating vasopressin V1-type receptor in A7r5 smooth muscle cells: A comparison with the adenylate cyclase-coupled V2-receptor, *EMBO J.* 9 (1990) 2693–2699.
- [40] Y. Sako, A. Kusumi, Barriers for lateral diffusion of transferrin receptor in the plasma membrane as characterized by receptor dragging by laser tweezers: Fence versus tether, *J. Cell Biol.* 129 (1995) 1559–1574.
- [41] Y. Sako, A. Kusumi, Compartmentalized structure of the plasma membrane for receptor movements as revealed by a nanometer-level motion analysis, *J. Cell Biol.* 125 (1994) 1251–1264.